**Anne Holmes** Jun

531

6

to me

Hi Glenn

Hope you had a trouble free journey to the UK and all is well.

I have been doing some research on your recent request (20 April) to find out more about the   
early Holymans in Haddenham and Cuddington.

There is not a great deal about the pre dissolution Manor of Haddenham in the Bucks Record   
Office although there is a section of a fourteenth century account roll held in the Norfolk Record   
Office. Most of the other early document relating to the Manor of Haddenham are held in the   
National Archives at Kew. There is a 1536 Court Roll held at the CBS which mentions a Richard   
Holyman, but little additional information. This Richard may be the son of the Thomas who died   
in 1558 but not certain.

I did find at the CBS the original deed granting the Manor of Cuddington by the Prior of   
Rochester to a Sir Edward North in 1539. Occupants of the Manor are mentioned, an Edward   
Astell and Thomas Holyman and his wife Margaret.

This information suggest the Thomas Holyman who died in 1558 was married more than once.   
It would also explain why, in his Will, Thomas refers to two adult sons Richard and Francis, and   
that his younger children are all under 21 years of age in 1558. it seems likely then that Richard   
and Francis were probably the children of Margaret and the younger children those of Dorothy   
(nee Clark).

I have attached a *photograph of the* 1539 *deed for you. It means also I will* have to alter the   
family tree. The deed, with its heavy seal, is preserved in a box. You could, if you wished see it   
on Wednesday 18 June.

Regarding your query where the Manor House stood in Cuddington. The Will of Thomas list   
strips of land that were scattered around the village: some of the strips are the Glebe closes   
which supported the church. I have found a 1935 map that shows a Manor Farm close to   
Tyringham Hall. Tyringham Hall dates, in part, from the sixteenth century.

The Victoria County History of Buckinghamshire states ... *In 1654, Thomas Tyringham of Lower   
Winchendon sold the capital* messuage or the site of the manor of Cuddington, *called 'the   
Farme House' with land in the parish to Dr Henry Wilkinson\_\_* This 'Farme House' sounds like it   
may have been Tyringham Hall or House, and possibly used as the Manor House at that time.   
Traditionally, the Manor House would be close to the Church, as is **Tyringham House. There** is   
a bit more research to clarify the situation regarding Tyringham House.

With regard to Holymans Farm this, and its associated land, was part of the church Glebe lands:   
Glebe lands, the profits of which supported the incumbent of the church at Cuddington. I will   
explain a bit more about Glebe lands when we meet on 18 June. It seems that in the sixteenth   
century Holyman Farm would have probably been the church farm. Again more research   
needed.

O

**Anne Holmes** 10:05 AM (7 hours ago)  
to me, Jeanette, Lindsay

**Hi Glenn**

**I hope I can answer the questions for you:**

**Firstly:**

**A document recently discovered, dated 1539/ 1540, relating to the Manor of   
Cuddington, states Thomas wife at that time was Margaret. At the time of Thomas'   
death in 1558 Thomas was married to Dorothy (nee CLARK). It is clear now from   
Thomas' Will that his children's ages were wide ranging and that Thomas was married   
at least twice.**

**Based on the evidence in Wills, including sons over 21 years of age in 1558, I have the   
children of Thomas and Margaret as William (d1557), Richard (d1603) and Francis   
(d1603): the remainder of the siblings probably the children of Thomas and Dorothy   
(nee CLARK). The adult William died in 1557, before Thomas, so perhaps the reason   
why William was not mentioned in Thomas' Will. Therefore it seems likely William was   
one of the older sons.**

**Secondly**

**Looking back on my notes regarding the beneficiaries of the Will of John who died in   
1600, I don't have a wife at all as a beneficiary of John. This John must have been quite   
elderly when he died as he had grandchildren. A John HOLYMAN who died c1521 did   
have a wife Ellen. I will check the 1600 Will again just to be sure.**

**Thirdly**

**Regarding Robert's wife HESTER. Robert died c1667. There is a document held in the   
Medway Archives, dated 1667 — a Final Concord relating to lands in Cuddington, that   
mentions a Robert HOLYMAN and his wife Hester. There appears to have been only   
one Robert HOLYMAN around in Cuddington at this time so I have stated Hester as   
Robert's wife on this premise. BRIGHTWELL as Hester's surname is based on the first   
son being named BRIGHTWELL. The BRIGHT1NELLS were a prominent family in the   
Cuddington and Haddenham area at that time. I cannot find a marriage for Robert and   
Hester, as yet. It seems likely they were married during the time of the Civil War or   
Commonwealth when parish registers were erratically kept. I have put a question mark   
against Hester's surname as with no marriage record it cannot be proved definitively.**

**Finally**

**Regarding John HOLYMAN and Sarah STEEL, they were married in 1762. Husband   
John could have been the son of the John HOLYMAN (d1764) and baptised in 1736 or   
the John baptised 1725, son of Brightwell HOLYMAN. Both fathers were the sons of   
Brightwell HOLLYMAN and Mary WHEELER.**

f!)

**The John HOLLYMAN of Cuddington, who died c1764 had married firstly Elizabeth   
WEST in 1731 in West Wycombe. Their children baptised in Cuddington:**

**1731 James  
1735 Elizabeth  
1736 John**

**1737 Robert  
1741 William**

**Their mother Elizabeth died in 1751. At John's death in 1764, only sons James and   
John are mentioned in his Will. His wife named in the Will is Sarah. Widower John   
HOLYMAN had married Sarah HUMPHRIES in 1754, therefore another Sarah.**

**John and Sarah may have had a daughter Mary baptised in Cuddington in 1755, but   
she is not mentioned in John's Will so probably did not survive.**

**The John HOLLYMAN baptised in 1736 was closer in age to Sarah STEEL and his   
only surviving brother was named James.**

**The John HOLLYMAN baptised in 1725 would have been fifteen years older than Sarah   
if they married.**

**However, John and Sarah's first son was named Brightwell which confuses the situation   
somewhat suggesting the 1725 baptism might be the correct choice. Brightwell though   
was the grandfather of both Johns.**


**But, the Marriage Licence for John HOLYMAN and Sarah STEEL has survived. Details:**

**John, husband of Sarah STEEL was 25 years old in 1762, therefore born c1737, which   
makes the John son of John HOLLYMAN and Elizabeth WEST baptised 1736 most   
likely the husband of Sarah STEEL not the one baptised in 1725.**

**John and Sarah's children were baptised in Cuddington between 1764 and 1778.**

**I hope the above answers all the queries. Come back to me if further clarification is   
needed**

f7



**Regarding Chris's family tree, I have looked at it on Ancestry and I am going to e-mail   
her later today. I will copy you in.**

**Kind Regards  
Anne**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Anne Holmes** | Jun  6 |
|

Hi Glenn

Hope you had a trouble free journey to the UK and all is well.

I have been doing some research on your recent request (20 April) to find out more about the   
early Holymans in Haddenham and Cuddington.

There is not a great deal about the pre dissolution Manor of Haddenham in the Bucks Record   
Office although there is a section of a *fourteenth* century account roll held in the Norfolk Record   
Office. Most of the other early document relating to the Manor of Haddenham are held in the   
National Archives at Kew. There is a 1536 Court Roll held at the CBS which mentions a Richard   
Holyman, but little additional information. This Richard may be the son of the Thomas who died   
in 1558 but not certain.

I did find at the CBS the original deed granting the Manor of Cuddington by the Prior of   
Rochester to a Sir Edward North in 1539. Occupants of the Manor are mentioned, an Edward   
Astell and Thomas Holyman and his wife Margaret.

This information suggest the Thomas Holyman who died in 1558 was married more than once.   
It would also explain why, in his Will, Thomas refers to two adult sons Richard and Francis, and   
that his younger children are all under 21 years of age in 1558. it seems likely then that Richard   
and Francis were probably the children of Margaret and the younger children those of Dorothy   
(nee Clark).

I have attached a photograph of the 1539 deed for you. It means also I will have to alter the   
family tree. The deed, with its heavy seal, is preserved in a box. You could, if you wished see it   
on Wednesday 18 June.

Regarding your query where the Manor House stood in Cuddington. The Will of Thomas list   
strips of land that were scattered around the village: some of the strips are the Glebe closes   
which supported the church. I have found a 1935 map that shows a Manor Farm close to   
Tyringham Hall. Tyringham Hall dates, in part, from the sixteenth century.

The Victoria County History of Buckinghamshire states ... *In 1654, Thomas Tyringham of Lower   
Winchendon sold the capital messuage or the site of the manor of Cuddington, called 'the*

*Farme House' with land in the parish to Dr Henry Wilkinson* This 'Farme House' sounds like it  
may have been Tyringham Hall or House, and possibly used as the Manor House at that time.   
Traditionally, the Manor House would be close to the Church, as is Tyringham House. There is   
a bit more research to clarify the situation regarding Tyringham House\_

With regard to Holymans Farm this, and its associated land, was part of the church Glebe lands:   
Glebe lands, the profits of which supported the incumbent of the church at Cuddington. I will   
explain a bit more about Glebe lands when we meet on 18 June. It seems that in the sixteenth   
century Holyman Farm would have probably been the church farm. Again more research   
needed.

There is lots to discuss when we meet.

For now

Kind Regards

Anne

**Anne Holmes**

Jun 18

to: me  
Lindsay

Glenn / Lindsay

I have been reviewing our meeting today and looking again at the maps re-  
Holymans.

In the mid eighteenth century the big house at Ho€ymans is shown as either an   
L shaped building or a rectangular building w€th a smaller building to the front   
and the house appears to be approached from the west by an avenue of trees,   
or has an avenue of trees leading down to the stream?

It is possible the buildings shown on the mid eighteenth century map are a   
long house with a separate kitchen building and another outbuilding: typical   
of perhaps a large medieval house complex. There also appears to be a fish   
pond on the eighteenth century map.

In 1818, on the Glebe map, Holymans appears to be shown as an L shaped   
building: the avenue of trees perhaps no longer there, but surrounded by a   
well laid out garden .

By 1844, and the date of the Tithe Map, the house complex has gone.

On a modern day aerial map, where the big house stood there appears to be a   
rectangular outbuilding surrounded by trees or a flat white area surrounded   
by trees (see attached).

I lost my bearings a bit when Carol showed us today the overgrown area near   
the stream, but not sure it was the location on the map where the big house   
stood at Holymans.

The big house at Holymans may have been a small manor complex but by the   
end of the Tudor period it was considered perhaps out of date and the   
Tyringharns built a more modern house closer to the village.

Glenn, if you are ever near Dorset whilst you are here in England, take some   
time out to visit Fiddleford Manor at Sturminster Newton. It is an old surviving   
medieval manor house close to a stream so has some reminiscences in   
location to Holymans. Fiddleford is a stone built house, the big house at   
Holymans *was* probably timber framed and one reason it has not survived.

have attached snips of the relevant maps for you to look at in more detail.

For now

Kind Regards

Anne

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Lindsay Holliman**  to Anne  me **Hello** | Jun 18 |

**I have been playing around with the mid 18th century map and the Google   
Earth image in Microsoft Publisher.**

**I have swivelled the 18th cent map and resized it so that the long , main,   
part of Caroline's house is the same size as it is on the Google map. If I   
then super-impose the map onto the Google Earth image the "Manor"   
corresponds exactly with the white rectangle and clump of trees on Google   
Earth. The approach road to Holyman's farm also coincides. What does not   
coincide is the alignment of the current Holyman's Barn buildings but my   
conclusion on these is that they were rebuilt after the 18th cent map was   
done.**

**I am, more than ever, convinced that "The Manor" was as shown on the   
18th cent map.**

**If & when I find a way of doing the super-impositioning in a way that I   
can send it I will do so.**

**Regards**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Lindsay** |  |
| **Lindsay Holliman**  to me;  Anne **Hello** | Jun 19 (13 days ago) |

**I attach a copy of the *Google* Earth (present) image with the 1818 map   
superimposed on it. The 1818 map is 50% opaque so hopefully you can *see*both its outline detail and the current.**

**The alignment of the Holyman's Farm & Holyman's Barn buildings is the   
same on the 1818 map as it is today and I have managed to align all of   
these between the two maps.**

**The big house appears to be just to the east of the white rectangle on the   
Google image.**

**It does seem that, whichever map (mid 18th century or 1818) is used the   
large house was in that position - given 10 or so yards.**

Home I About Medway archives I Searc:: - Explorer Query Tool I Java Query Toot I Map Search I Text Only

Cityark - Search Results

Page 1 of 2

Text version Accessibility help

Sunday 6 January 2013



**Search CityArk**

Ell

**CityArk**

You are here: Medway Council > CityArk > Home > Query > Results

**Your query generated 3 results (Page 1 of 1)**

**Result number 1 - Please quote Reference:**

**DRc\_Dean\_and\_Chapter\_of\_Rochester\_Cathedral\_1541\_1994/DRc\_Ele\_236\_02 on request slip.**

*Path: Ecclesiastical Regular and Capitular\_Foundations/ DRc Dean\_and\_Chapter of Rochester\_Cathedrat 1541\_1994/   
8802\_Estate\_Records \_1346\_1963/ 05 DRc Ele\_Leases\_1.'46\_to\_1896/ DRc Ele 236\_02.htrn1*

Dean and Chapter of Rochester

Leases

ESTATE

Out-County Estate Leases and Related Documents

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE: CUDDINGTON

Messuage in Cuddington on the west side of the High Street; 2 closes arable land called Stanland and Stonewell (12   
acres) lying west of Wanborow Way and east of Haddenham field; 4 acres close of meadowland called Horsleys

Final Concord

*"*

*it* Richard Langlande [cf. Langland, Longland] *versus* Richard **Holyman** [cf. Holman], junior and Elizabeth, his wife   
**Date: Michaelmas 1590   
Quantity: 1 item**

**Result number 2 - Please quote Reference:**

**DRc\_Dean\_and\_Chapter\_of Rochester\_Cathedral\_1541\_1994/DRc\_Ele\_236\_06 on request slip.**

*Path: Ecclesiastical \_ Regular\_and Capitular\_ Foundations/ DRc Dean\_and Chapter of Rochester Cathedral 1541\_1994/   
8802\_Estate\_Records\_ 1346\_1963/ 05 DRc\_Ele\_Leases\_1346\_to\_1896/ DRc Ele 236\_06.html*

Dean and Chapter of Rochester

Leases

ESTATE

Out-County Estate Leases and Related Documents

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE: CUDDINGTON

Messuage in Cuddington on the west side of the High Street; 2 closes arable land called Stanland and Stonewell (12   
acres) lying west of Wanborow Way and east of Haddenham field; 4 acres close of meadowland called Horsleys

Final Concord

John Michelle [cf. Mitchell], gentleman, John Townsend, Henry Sumner, gentleman and Luke Foster *versus* Roland   
Longland and Margaret, his wife, Robert **Holyman** and Hester, his wife and Roland Brasbridge and Joan, his wife

**Date: EasterQuantity: 1 item**

**Result number 3 - Please quote Reference:**

**DRc\_Dean\_and\_Chapter\_ofRochester\_Cathedral\_1541\_1994/DRc\_Ele\_240 on request slip.**

*Path: Ecclesiastical Regular\_and Capitulas \_Foundations/ DRc Dean\_and\_Chapter of Rochester Cathedral 1541\_1994/   
8802\_Estate\_Records 1346 1963/ 05\_DRc\_Ele\_Leases 1346\_to\_1896/ DRc\_Eie 240.html*

Dean and Chapter of Rochester

Leases

ESTATE

Out-County Estate Leases and Related Documents

BUCKINGHAMSHIRE: CUDDINGTON

3 messuages in Cuddington, one lying west of Pigottes close, one abutting on Vicars Close and one abutting on the road.

Feoffment

Richard Holiman [cf. Holman, **Holyman],** junior, Charles Randolphe [cf. Randolph], Robert Burnard and Richard Holiman,   
senior, all of Cuddington, yeomen to John Stratton of Cuddington, yeoman.

See also DRc/Ele 236/1

**Date: 2 March 1575/1576 id-**

**Quantity: 1 item**

<http://cityark.medway.gov.uldquery/results/?Mode=Search&PathList=%2F&SearchW..>. 06/01/2013

020/9

s *MA*

**Anne Holmes** 10:05 AM (7 hours ago)to me, Jeanette, Lindsay

Hi Glenn

I hope I can answer the questions for you:

Firstly:

A document recently discovered, dated 1539/ 1540, relating to the Manor of   
Cuddington, states Thomas wife at that time was Margaret. At the time of Thomas'   
death in 1558 Thomas was married to Dorothy (nee CLARK). It is clear now from   
Thomas' Will that his children's ages were wide ranging and that Thomas was married   
at least twice.

Based on the evidence in Wills, including sons over 21 years of age in 1558, **I** have the   
children of Thomas and Margaret as *William* (d1557), Richard (d1603) and Francis   
(d1603): the remainder of the siblings probably the children of Thomas and Dorothy   
(nee CLARK). The adult William died in 1557, before Thomas, so perhaps the reason   
why William was not mentioned in Thomas' Will. Therefore it seems likely William was   
one of the older sons.

Secondly

Looking back on my notes regarding the beneficiaries of the Will of John who died in   
1600, **I** don't have a wife at all as a beneficiary of John. This John must have been quite   
elderly when he died as he had grandchildren. A John HOLYMAN who died c1521 did   
have a wife Ellen. I will check the 1600 Will again just to be sure.

Thirdly

Regarding Robert's wife HESTER. Robert died c1667. There is a document held in the   
Medway Archives, dated 1667 — a Final Concord relating to lands in Cuddington, that   
mentions a Robert HOLYMAN and his wife Hester. There appears to have been only   
one Robert HOLYMAN around in Cuddington at this time so I have stated Hester as   
Robert's wife on this premise. BRIGHTWELL as Hester's surname is based on the first   
son being named BRIGHTWELL. The BRIGHTVVELLS were a prominent family 'in the   
Cuddington and Haddenham area at that time. **I** cannot find a marriage for Robert and   
Hester, as yet. It seems likely they were married during the time of the Civil War or   
Commonwealth when parish registers were erratically kept. I have put a question mark   
against Hester's surname as with no marriage record it cannot be proved definitively.

Finally

Regarding John HOLYMAN and Sarah STEEL, they were married in 1762. Husband   
John could have been the son of the John HOLYMAN (dl 764) and baptised in 1736 or   
the John baptised 1725, son of Brightwell HOLYMAN. Both fathers were the sons of   
Brightwell HOLLYMAN and Mary WHEELER.

The John HOLLYMAN of Cuddington, who died c1764 had married firstly Elizabeth   
WEST in 1731 in West Wycombe. Their children baptised in Cuddington:

1731 James  
1735 Elizabeth

1736 John  
1737 Robert  
1741 William

Their mother Elizabeth died in 1751. At John's death in 1764, only sons James and   
John are mentioned in his Will. His wife named in the Will is Sarah. Widower John   
HOLYMAN had married Sarah HUMPHRIES in 1754, therefore another Sarah.

John and Sarah may have had a daughter Mary baptised in Cuddington in 1755, but   
she is not mentioned in John's Will so probably did not survive.

The John HOLLYMAN baptised in 1736 was closer in age to Sarah STEEL and his   
only surviving brother was named James.

The John HOLLYMAN baptised in 1725 would have been fifteen years older than Sarah   
if they married.

However, John and Sarah's first son was named Brightwell which confuses the situation   
somewhat suggesting the 1725 baptism might be the correct choice. Brightwell though   
was the grandfather of both Johns.

But, the Marriage Licence for John HOLYMAN and Sarah STEEL has survived. Details:

*John HOLLYMAN, Yeoman of Cuddington, aged 25 and Sarah STEEL, aged 22 years   
of Waddesdon. Married at Waddesdon 11 October 1762*

John, husband of Sarah STEEL was 25 years old in 1762, therefore born c1737, which   
makes the John son of John HOLLYMAN and Elizabeth WEST baptised 1736 most   
likely the husband of Sarah STEEL not the one baptised in 1725.

John and Sarah's children were baptised in Cuddington between 1764 and 1778.

I hope the above answers all the queries. Come back to me if further clarification is   
needed

Regarding Chris's family tree, I have looked at it on Ancestry and I am going to e-mail   
her later today. I will copy you in.

Kind Regards  
Anne

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| nlimt |  |

1. What is the Fleet of Fines?

A Feet of Fines is the Archival copy of a land agreement between two parties in English Law.

See <http://en.wikipedia.orq/wiki/Feet> of Fines and also the National Archives   
explanation [http://www.nationalarchives.00v.uk/records/research-ouides/land-  
conveyance-feet-of-fines.htm](http://www.nationalarchives.00v.uk/records/research-ouides/land-conveyance-feet-of-fines.htm)

1. This document reports John Lee querent land to Richard Clerk and wife Elizabeth, as deforciants. What   
   does querent mean and likewise deforciants?

Querent is the Purchaser and Deforciant is the Seller (of the lease that is)

1. Thomas Holyman of Cuddington (d 1558) had married Dorothie Clark. A few years later their son   
   Christopher, marries into the prominent Lee Family. Could this Richard Clerk (Clark) be a father or   
   grandfather of Dorothie? The Clarks seem to have been prominent enough to marry into to land holding   
   families - the Holymans and the Lees.

We know from the Will of Thomas HOLYMAN 1558 that Christopher CLARK was   
Dorothy's father. It is possible Christopher was member of the Ardington CLARK   
family. See next answer. However, CLARK is a widespread surname so without a   
further known ancestral line back in time it is impossible to know.

1. Where is Stoke Mandeville or Stoke Hailing, land they 'rented'?

Stoke Mandeville is very close to Hartwell. Stoke Hailing is a Hamlet within Stoke   
Mandeville parish. Best description of the history of Stoke Mandeville is in the Victoria   
County History at British History Online [http://www.british-  
history.ac.uk/vch/bucks/vo12/pp360-365](http://www.british-history.ac.uk/vch/bucks/vo12/pp360-365) I believe the Ardington CLARKS are   
mentioned in this article

Anne Holmes 10:43 AM (20 hours ago)  
to me, Lindsay

**Hi Glenn**

**Lindsay has settled the bill for the recent Bucks FHS Databases searches.**

On your request to try and find out more about the LEE family I was looking yesterday   
at the catalogue of the earliest documents of the LEE family of Hartwell. Most of   
the documents mentioned are early Tudor and before so really HAMPDEN documents   
that the LEE family acquired on the marriage of Thomas LEE to Eleanor HAMPDEN.   
The catalogue is very old and not indexed so it was a matter of reading through it page   
by page.

I have found several HOLYMAN *entries in this* catalogue:

The first is dated 1490 when a Richard HOLYMAN of Cuddington has been transferred   
some land on the Hart--VgrertaTereo men

The second is dated 1491 when Richard HOLYMAN of Cuddington is transferring land   
in Moreton in Dinton to a group of people which included JhnQLY111I9N of



Cuddington and John LEE of Moreton. The John HOLYMAN of Cuddington mentioned   
in this agreement is very likely the one who died in 1521 and left a Will. 1   
of Moreton is the ancestor of Thomas LEE.,andzalso.Margaret,LEE-,-(wife torGliristopher   
HOLYMAN).



Therefore 80 years or so before Christopher HOLYMAN married Margaret LEE, the LEE   
and HOLYMAN families had already been well acquainted.

The third document, dated 1505, mentions John HOLYMAN. John has acquired a share   
of further land and a messuage in Moreton.

The amount of land involved is not great and would correlate with John's status as a   
Husbandman in 1521, the year of his probable death. It *is* only egALtp..glisspluticAof   
the monasteries and the release of property and land held by the church that individuals

Ike-Juin **would Lc cilitettrattittirerrore.''**

In 1538, and after the dissolution of the monasteries, the Manor of Moreton was devised   
to Thomas LEE. If you remember a year later in 1539 Thomas HOLYMAN was devised   
the Manor of Cuddington. Here you can see in the late 1530s, just after the dissolution   
ofitusgpsteliesAhese two fapigiesthe.,LEENterearre'ss   
Ce\_quaLsaciplAatus,

I have looked at the 1491 document. Most of it is in Latin, but I asked one of the   
Archivists at the CBS to check it through to se if there was an inference or mention of   
a relationship between Richard HOLYMAN and John HOLYMAN, but there was not.   
Richard and John may have been father and son but also perhaps brothers or

other relatives. The document itself was quite short and there is not much more detail in   
it than the abstract reference in the catalogue indicates.

The 1505 document relating to John HOLYMAN is not held at the CBS. I have been   
advised to look at the catalogue compiler's notes, held at the Bucks Archeological   
Society Library to see if there is a mention of where this document is held. The BAS   
library is only five minutes walk from the Archives so I can do this next Wednesday, the   
next day the BAS Library is open.

This new find is LoterestingaajtizmIyplacesAlieM.XMAINLJamil uddf ton the   
12190s. Interestingly, there was in the catalogue also a few references to a John CLARK.   
John may be connected to Christopher and Dorothy CLARK, but CLARK is not an   
uncommon surname so it is difficult to be *sure.*

I have attached some images of the relevant abstract entries from the catalogue for   
your reference. I still have not finished looking through the catalogue so there is always   
a possibility of finding an entry or two more of relevance.

I will let you know how I get on at the BAS Library next week.

Kind Regards

Anne

**From: Glenn Holliman <**

**Date: Friday, 5 September 2014 16:15**

**To: Anne Holmes <**

**Subject: Re: Marriage Licence John HOLLYMAN and HOLLYMAN Buckinghamshire Database Searches**

5 Attachments

0

C

v

**Glenn Holliman <**[**glennhistory@gmail.com**](mailto:glennhistory@gmail.com)**>** 6:05 PM (12 hours ago)to Anne, Lindsay

Anne, thank you for this and please keep the meter running! You continue to find amazing   
information. I am grateful. Please keep digging, and when you have enough information, flesh   
out more of the family tree.

C

14

I have done a little more research on tracing the ancestry of living descendants of the HOLLYMANS of   
Cuddington and Haddenham. The two living individuals to date identified do trace back to Cuddington in   
the late eighteenth century. One traces back to a James HOLYMAN of Cuddington in the mid C18: the   
other to a Charles HOLLYMAN of Cuddington. It is possible James and Charles may have a common   
ancestor. I am still trying to unravel this part of the research as there are several individuals with the   
same name confusing the picture. In addition, a possible ancestor of James HOLLYMAN could include   
illegitimacy. James had an elder brother Robert. This suggests an ancestor named Robert HOLLYMAN. A   
Robert HOLLYMAN died in 1638 in Cuddington. He named his only son, his 'reputed son' Robert as heir.   
In this statement there are suggestions that itobert did not know if Robert was his natural son.   
Illegitimacy of a male ancestor needed for a DNA line would completely obscure the results.

#04

I have received the results of the Bucks FHS baptism and burial searches for the surname HOLLYMAN   
dates 1538 to 1638 and these are attached. I am still waiting on the marriage results. These always take   
longer.

From all the sources identified thus far it appears

from the Cuddington/Haddenham area of Bucks. There were the more well connected HOLLYMANS, the   
extended family of Thomas HOLLYMAN (d c1558) who were in the main Yeoman farmers and

gentlemen. And then there were the lesser branches of HOLLYMANS, many of whom were

Husbandmen, still farmers but holding much less acreage.

This is the research thus far.  
Any queries, let me know.  
Kind Regards

Anne

From: Glenn Holliman [<mailto:glennhistory@gmail.coml>

Sent: 13 October 2012 14:27

To: Anne Holmes

Subject: Great Berhamstead

Anne,

The below message arrived this a.m. on my [Ancestry.com](http://Ancestry.com) link. I assume this Karen Kenan is an   
American. I quickly checked the Bristish records and her great grandfather was still living in   
Berhamstead in 1911 (census).

So...are there any male Hollimans still in Berhamstead? I wrote Karen (whom I had never heard of   
before; she found me via the blog) asking for details. This may be a DNA route either through Karen's   
male relatives in the U.S. or Berhamstead.

ft

No need for apologies, Glenn. Almost everyone, perhaps everyone but me, descends   
from Christopher.

Anyhow, Thomas Hollyman was b 31 Aug 1618 in England, and died 10 Nov 1694 in   
Philadelphia. We know he had two daughters. If there were other children, they're not   
mentioned anywhere. Mary, the older daughter, was born 1656 in Bristol. Sarah, the   
younger daughter, from whom I descend, was born 1660 in England. My best guess   
would be Bristol. So the family came to the colonies somewhere between 1660 and 1688   
when Sarah married John Densey in Philadelphia.

If a branch of the family settled in Bristol by the mid i600s this almost certainly would   
be the same family. And that would make it possible to connect back and find our   
common ancestor among the folks you've been discussing on your excellent blog.